

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of North Carolina

United States of America

v.

Michael Anthony Wallace

Date of Previous Judgment: 12/19/95

(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable)

)

)

)

)

)

)

Case No: 3:94CR126-6

USM No: 11375-058

Robert Charles Carpenter

Defendant's Attorney

## Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)

Upon motion of ☒ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☐ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion,

**IT IS ORDERED** that the motion is:

☒ DENIED. ☐ GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of \_\_\_\_\_ months **is reduced to** \_\_\_\_\_.

### I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures)

Previous Offense Level: \_\_\_\_\_

Criminal History Category: \_\_\_\_\_

Previous Guideline Range: \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_ months

Amended Offense Level: \_\_\_\_\_

Criminal History Category: \_\_\_\_\_

Amended Guideline Range: \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_ months

### II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE

- ☐ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.
- ☐ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.
- ☐ Other (explain): \_\_\_\_\_

### III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS


Pursuant to USSG §5G1.1, the sentence imposed may not be less than the statutorily required minimum sentence. If Amendment 706 (Retroactive Crack Cocaine Amendment) had been in place at the original sentencing, the guideline sentence would have been the mandatory minimum. Therefore, Amendment 706 has no effect on the defendant's

Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated 12/19/95 shall remain in effect.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Order Date: September 25, 2008

Effective Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
(if different from order date)

  
Martin Reidinger  
United States District Judge

